Personality traits predicting different aspects of subjective well-being in elderly adults
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Abstract: The study examined unique predictive relations of personality traits with three components of subjective well-being (WB) in a normative sample (N = 272; 70% females) of Slovene elderly (M = 71.82 years, SD = 6.03). Investigating the concurrent relationships, we relied on the Big Five personality model and the Keyes's model of WB, which entails emotional well-being (EWB), psychological well-being (PWB), and social well-being (SoWB). We also considered the participants' background characteristics (age, gender, marital status and educational level), and their subjective health status in predicting the components of WB. The respondents filled-in a scale of subjective health, constructed for the purpose of the study, the Big Five Inventory and the Mental Health Continuum – Short Form. The demographic characteristics did not significantly contribute to any aspect of WB, whereas self-reported health significantly improved the prediction of EWB and PWB. The Big Five uniquely predicted all of the components of WB, over and above demographics and subjective health. Agreeableness was a significant single predictor across the components of WB. Higher levels of conscientiousness and lower levels of neuroticism contributed to EWB. Conscientiousness, extraversion, and openness predicted PWB, and openness predicted SoWB.
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Osebnostne lastnosti kot napovedniki različnih vidikov subjektivnega blagostanja pri starejših odraslih
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Povzetek: V raziskavi smo proučili sočasno napovedno vrednost osebnostnih potez za tri sestavine subjektivnega blagostanja pri normativnem vzorcu (N = 272; 70 % žensk) starejših odraslih (M = 71,82 let, SD = 6,03) v Sloveniji. Pri tem smo izhajali iz modela Velikih pet osebnostnih lastnosti ter Keyesovega celostnega modela subjektivnega blagostanja (SB), ki vključuje čustveno (ČB), psihoško (PB) in socialno blagostanje (SoB). V napovednih modelih SB smo najprej upoštevali demografske značilnosti udeležencev (spol, starost, zakonski stan, raven izobrazbe) in njihovo subjektivno oceno zdravja, potem pa dodali samoocene petih osebnostnih lastnosti. Uporabili smo Vprašalnik subjektivne ocene zdravja, ki smo ga oblikovali za namen raziskave, kratko obliko Kontinua duševnega zdravja ter Vprašalnik petih faktorjev BFI. Demografske značilnosti niso značilno napovedovali sestavin SB, medtem ko je subjektivna ocena zdravja pričakovala značilen delež variance v ČB in PB. Sklop petih osebnostnih lastnosti je značilno izboljšal napoved, preko demografskih značilnosti in subjektivne ocene zdravja. Pri tem se je sprejemljivost povecela z vsemi tremi sestavinami SB, štiri osebnostne lastnosti pa so se s posameznimi sestavinami SB povezala razlikovalno. Visoka raven vestnosti in nizka raven nevroticizma sta pomembno napovedovali ČB, vestnost, ekstravertnost in odprtost so napovedovale PB, odprtost pa SoB.
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Life expectancy of human populations has been steadily increasing over the past decades, with approximately 20% of the EU population recently representing the age group of elderly (65 years and above) (Eurostat, 2019). Likewise, 18.9% of the elderly have lately constituted the Slovene population (Statistical Office, 2017), with male-female ratio of 1 : 1.32 (Eurostat, 2019). These demographic trends in population aging present one of the key challenges for the countries involved, as the phenomena has important implications for policy-making in the area of pension, social and health security systems, labour market, etc. Although gerontologists and professionals in related fields have been emphasizing the significance of quality aging in terms of an active and healthy life-style for a half of century, the quality aging has become one of the central topics of research about 30 years ago, especially due to its connection to policy-planning of national social systems (Baltes & Baltes, 1990). However, developmental research of quality aging is still underrepresented in the psychological literature, and remains particularly scarce in Slovenia.

Understanding the process of aging is also essential at the level of aging individuals in order to contribute to the quality of their life in the old age. Researchers proposed different models and theories of quality or successful aging. Rowe and Kahn’s (1997) model is one of the best known and broadly applied. It emphasizes an absence of physical illness and related limitations, maintenance of a high level of physical and mental activity, and active engagement in life. However, the model has been widely criticised in the academic community due to inferring a calculable high standard of successful ageing and excluding the presence of different medical conditions in late adulthood, as well as by its failure to capture a life course perspective (Dillaway & Byrnes, 2009; Stowe & Cooney, 2015). Contemporary conceptualizations of successful aging take into account age-related physical and psychological decline, but suggest effective ways of coping (e.g., the model of selective optimization with compensation; Baltes & Baltes, 1990) or focus on subjectively perceived positive outcomes in the face of age-related losses, assessed by what is commonly referred to as subjective well-being (WB). In relation to successful aging, WB has gained lots of research interest over the recent years, especially in the United Kingdom and the United States (e.g., Jivraj et al., 2014).

There are different approaches to the conceptualization and measurement of WB. In the present study, we relied on the Keyes’s comprehensive model of WB (also referred to as positive mental health) that captures three different, yet related components (Gallagher et al., 2009; Keyes, 2002, 2009): emotional WB (EWB), psychological WB (PWB) and social WB (SoWB). Specifically, we focused on plausible factors (background, subjectively perceived physical health, and personality) in predicting the components of WB among normative (relatively healthy and independent) Slovene elderly.

**Subjective well-being**

Abundant research considers subjective WB as life satisfaction – a global cognitive evaluation of one’s life (e.g., DeNeve and Cooper, 1998; Diener, 2000, 2012; Steel et al., 2008), whereas other authors distinguish between hedonic (pleasurable life) and eudaimonic WB (meaningful life). Hedonic WB, referred to as EWB by Keyes (2002), reflects experiences of positive affect, absence of negative affect, and satisfaction with life. In contrast, eudaimonic WB is primarily conceived as an outcome of engagement in meaningful activities and actualization of one’s own potentials (Ryan & Deci, 2001; Waterman, 1993). It is well represented by the model of psychological WB (PWB; Ryff, 1989), which involves autonomy, environmental mastery, personal growth, positive relations with others, purpose in life, and self-acceptance. Keyes (1998, 2002, 2009) included the Ryff’s PWB model into his comprehensive conceptualization of WB, but further extended the eudaimonic WB to a wider interpersonal domain and introduced social WB (SoWB). SoWB indicates a degree to which people function well in their social world beyond close relationships; it includes evaluations of one’s social integration and social contribution, social coherence (the quality, organization and functioning of the society), social actualization (society’s potentials for social growth), and social acceptance (feeling comfortable with other members in the society).

A large body of research has addressed hedonic WB (predominantly life satisfaction) and provided evidence on its correlates (e.g., Diener et al., 1991; Diener et al., 2003; Gomez et al., 2009; Steel et al., 2008), but less is known how these variables relate to the aspects of eudaimonic WB (PWB and SoWB). The contribution of personality traits, for example, has been well recognised for some aspects of WB, but mostly in age-heterogeneous adult samples, rarely including older adults over 75 years (e.g., Keyes et al., 2002), with few exceptions (e.g. Gomez et al., 2009; Kahlbaugh & Huffman, 2017; Stephan, 2009). Therefore, we focused on the unique role of personality traits in explaining different components of WB specifically in late adulthood. There is namely scarce evidence that age may moderate the effect of traits on WB (e.g., Gomez et al., 2009; Stephan, 2009). Given that the elderly cope with different developmental tasks than younger individuals, it seems plausible to assume that some traits, such as extraversion, do not have the same role in the old adults’ aspects of WB as compared to young or middle-aged adults.

**Background characteristics, health status and subjective well-being**

Research across adulthood pointed out that background characteristics, such as age, gender, level of education, and marital status tend to have a rather small effect on WB (e.g., Diener et al., 2003; Hansen & Slagsvold, 2012; Lamers et al., 2012; Snowden et al., 2010). The associations of WB with age and gender appear inconsistent across studies. A meta-analysis by Pinquart and Sörensen (2000) and findings of Keyes (1998, 2007; Keyes et al., 2002) suggest modest positive relationships of education with EWB, PWB, and SoWB. Married individuals report on somewhat higher levels of EWB and PWB than their single, divorced, and widowed peers (Mroczek & Spiro, 2005; Shapiro & Keyes, 2008), a result also found in elderly adults (Bennett, 2005; Mroczek & Spiro, 2005). No status difference was demonstrated for
foundation, we refrained from formulating a hypothesis on that self-perceptions of better health among the elderly would more likely to exhibit greater care for their health and use suggests that individuals with higher levels of PWB are also PWB (Ryan & Deci, 2001). Yet, Ryff's (2013) overview ways, and investment into productive, subjectively meaning- everyday activities, spending leisure time in preferential, and/or pain, and may prevent individuals from engagement in several pleasurable (or even necessary) everyday activities, spending leisure time in preferential ways, and investment into productive, subjectively meaning- ful endeavours; thus, health may indirectly or directly affect PWB (Ryan & Deci, 2001). Yet, Ryff’s (2013) overview suggests that individuals with higher levels of PWB are also more likely to exhibit greater care for their health and use more effective coping strategies to manage stress, which may protect from or at least delay development of illness.

As we collected data only at one time-point, we proposed that self-perceptions of better health among the elderly would predict higher levels of their EWB and PWB, over and above the background characteristics. Due to a lack of empirical foundation, we refrained from formulating a hypothesis on the relationship of subjective health with SoWB.

The big five personality traits and subjective well-being

Enduring personality predispositions (traits) appear among the most powerful factors influencing EWB or life satisfaction (e.g., DeNeve & Cooper, 1998; Diener, 2000; Diener & Lucas, 1999; Gomez et al., 2009; McCrae & Costa, 1991; Steel et al., 2008). Abundant research in this area has relied on the Big Five model of personality traits. Extraversion and neuroticism have been demonstrated to play a central role in explaining individuals’ perceptions of their life as pleasurable (Costa & McCrae, 1980; DeNeve & Cooper, 1998; Diener et al., 1999; Gomez et al., 2009; Steel et al., 2008), and have been suggested to affect EWB through biological and behavioural pathways (e.g., Ozer & Benet-Martinez, 2006).

The neuro-biologically based behavioural activation system (Gray, 1990) is linked to extraversion and influences behavioural approach by indicating the presence of rewards through the promotion of positive affect, making extravers more likely to attend to rewards and find them more enjoyable. The behavioural inhibition system (Gray, 1990) is related to neuroticism and regulates behavioural avoidance by signalling the presence of punishments through the endorsement of negative affect, making emotionally instable individuals more prone to attend to punishers, and avoid them (Elliot & Thrash, 2002). Nevertheless, manifestations of traits may help create environments that influence EWB. Extraverts spend more time socializing and tend to be happier in social situations (Pavot et al., 1990). They are also likely to form satisfying close relationships, whereas the opposite often holds for emotionally instable individuals, prone to negativity (e.g., Belsky et al., 2003).

However, it seems that the role of extraversion in attaining hedonic pleasure decreases over the adult years (Gomez et al., 2009), whereas neuroticism remains predictive (Lamers et al., 2012), or becomes more influential in late adulthood (Gomez et al., 2009). Several studies also revealed weak but significant associations of agreeableness and conscientiousness with EWB (DeNeve & Cooper, 1998; Lucas, 2008; McCrae & Costa, 1991; Steel et al., 2008; Zupančič & Kavčič, 2017) though others suggested no connection (e.g., Gomez et al., 2009; Lamers et al., 2012). Given the mixed evidence on those relationships and no significant link of extraversion to EWB in late adulthood, we expected that the elderly with higher levels of neuroticism would report on lower levels of EWB.

With regard to PWB, Schmutte and Ryff (1997) proposed that basic emotional tendencies, captured by extraversion and neuroticism might influence feelings of self-acceptance and beliefs about one's capability to direct and manage everyday activities (environmental mastery), whereas agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness might show instrumental links with autonomy, personal growth and positive interpersonal relationships. In middle-aged adults, they indeed found differential relationships of PWB with the Big Five. Energetic, assertive individuals, prone to experience positive emotions concurrently felt more pleased with themselves, in control of their environment, engaged in meaningful lives, and reported on more positive close relationships. The opposite was established for anxious, worry-some, moody, highly emotionally reactive individuals, who also reported on lower levels of autonomy. Based on the outcomes, Schmutte and Ryff further concluded that a responsible life-style of conscientious adults could have enabled them to reach all those attributes of PWB. Personal growth further demonstrated connections with extraversion and openness, suggesting that energy, imagination and curiosity may drive people at midlife to grow and improve themselves. Finally, agreeableness showed links with positive interpersonal relations, possibly because agreeable individuals surround themselves with warm and supportive others.

In a similar vein, Abbot et al. (2008) revealed significant relationships of extraversion in adolescence/emerging adulthood with PWB at midlife, whereas an increase in agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness predicted subsequent PWB in university students (Zupančič & Kavčič, 2017). Controlling for background characteristics and psychopathology, concurrent relations of extraversion and openness with PWB were also reported in a sample across the adult life span (Lamers et al., 2012). Relying on empirical evidence and theoretical considerations (Ryff, 1989), we expected higher levels of extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness to predict higher levels of PWB in our elderly sample.

Up to date, little research attention has been devoted to personality in relation to SoWB. Scant cross-sectional and longitudinal studies suggest associations of agreeableness and/or openness (Hill et al., 2012; Joshanloo & Nosratabadi,
2012) which may enhance their coping with social tasks and successful responses to social challenges of life. In line with those considerations, but sparse empirical evidence, we proposed that more agreeable and open elderly adults would endorse higher levels of SoWB.

The present study

In sum, the first goal of this study was to examine the unique predictive value of the Big Five personality traits for the three components of WB in a sample of normative elderly Slovenes, over and beyond their background characteristics and subjective health. As for the second goal, we aimed to test the ability of each of the Big Five traits to differentially predict separate components of WB, i.e., EWB, PWB, and SoWB.

Drawing upon the aforementioned conceptualizations and empirical research, we formulated the following hypotheses: (H1) background characteristics of the elderly would contribute very little to the components of their WB; (H2) better self-perceived health would predict higher EWB and PWB, over and above the background characteristics; (H3) the Big Five would uniquely predict the components of WB, beyond subjective health; (H4) lower neuroticism would contribute to higher EWB, higher agreeableness and openness would relate to higher eudaimonic WB (PWB and SoWB), whereas higher conscientiousness would specifically predict higher PWB; given that we focused on late adulthood, we expected extraversion to positively associate with PWB.

Method

Participants

Participants were 272 relatively healthy, community-dwelling adults, aged from 65 to 91 years (M = 71.82 years, SD = 6.03; 70% females), residing in different regions of Slovenia. Of the respondents, 59.9% were married or in a long-term relationship, 5.9% were divorced, 28.3% were widowed, 3.7% single (never married), and 2.2% of them did not report their marital status. With respect to educational level, 9.4% had not finished eight years of compulsory school, 13.8% completed the compulsory school, 48.1% finished a lower/middle vocational or secondary school (2-, 3- or 4- years), 18.7% had a higher vocational education (tertiary) and 10% obtained a university degree (missing data for 1.5% of the respondents).

Instruments

Subjective health was measured by four items, based on previous research by several authors (e.g., Sargent-Cox et al., 2010; Staudinger et al., 1999; Teuscher, 2009). Using a five-point Likert-type scale, our participants rated their overall health (“How would you rate your overall health at the present time?”) (1 – excellent, 5 – very bad) (reverse coded), compared their health to the health of their peers (“How would you rate your health, compared to most people of your age?”) (1 – much worse, 5 – much better) and compared their current health to their health in the previous year (“Compared to 12 months ago, how would you rate your health now?”) (1 – much better, 5 – much worse) (reverse coded). Another item referred to self-perceived everyday performance due to one’s health (“Over the last month, how much did your health hamper your performance on everyday tasks or other daily activities?”) (1 – not at all, 5 – I was not able to do anything by myself) (reverse coded). The confirmatory factor analysis of the four items revealed one factor (Petrič, 2015). The internal consistency of the scale in the present sample was .76.

The Big Five Personality Inventory (BFI; John et al., 1991) is a self-report instrument, designed to measure extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism and openness. It consists of 44 short phrases, which the participants rated along a 5-point Likert scale (1 – strongly disagree, 5 – strongly agree). John and Srivastava (1999) supported the five-factor structure of the BFI and reported on good psychometric properties of the scales (internal consistency, test-retest reliability, and adequate convergent validity by correlations of the BFI scales with the NEO-FFI and the TDA scales). The exploratory factor analysis of the Slovene version of the BFI (Avsec & Sočan, 2007) further suggested five factors interpretable in terms of the five basic personality dimensions, with satisfactory internal reliability of the scales. The five-factor structure of the BFI was also supported in our sample of elderly, but with some modifications – 12 items were omitted due to low factor loadings or loadings on non-corresponding factors (Petrič, 2015). The internal consistency of the scales of the modified (reduced) BFI was acceptable in our sample, i.e., .67 for extraversion, .74 for conscientiousness, .61 for agreeableness, .73 for neuroticism and .77 for openness.

Mental Health Continuum – Short Form (MHC-SF; Keyes, 2009) consists of 14 items designed to assess the components of subjective well-being (WB) or positive mental health. Three items capture hedonic or EWB (happy, interested in life, and satisfied); six items characterize psychological aspects of eudaimonic WB or PWB, i.e., one item for each of the dimensions in Ryff’s model (1989); five items depict social aspects of eudaimonic WB or SoWB – one item for each of the five dimensions of the Keyes’s (1998) model of SoWB. The items are assessed on a six-point frequency scale, measuring how often the respondents experienced each of the indicators (0 – never, 5 – every day). The three-factor
structure of the MHC-SF, as well as the reliability and validity of the three scales have been demonstrated with adolescent and adult samples in several countries (e.g., the Netherlands, South Africa, the USA) (Keyes, 2009). In Slovenia, two comprehensive analysis of the instrument were previously reported (Petrič, 2015; Zager Kocjan, 2016), supporting the construct validity of the three-factor structure and good internal reliability of the scales. One of them (Petrič, 2015) has been performed exclusively with a sample of elderly adults. Also, the alfa coefficients in the present sample were satisfactory (.82 for EWB, .80 for PWB and .71 for SoWB).

Procedure

We recruited the participants through organisations for elderly adults in Slovenia, such as centres of daily activities, societies for retired persons, cross-generational societies, and universities for the third period. Additionally, we used a snowball sampling. All of the participants provided an informed written consent prior to taking part in the study. First, they responded to the demographic questions (age, gender, place of residence, marital status, and educational level). Then, they filled out self-report questionnaires (paper-pencil style) or were engaged in a structured, face-to-face interview, conducted by a psychologist or a trained “senior” psychology student. In the face-to-face interview, the interviewer was reading the questionnaire items aloud to the participants, while the rating scale was mounted in front of them. The questionnaires were administered in the same rank-order as presented in the Measures subsection. In a previous personality study with the Slovene elderly, no effect of style of application on the results of the Big Five Questionnaire was found (Caprara et al., 2012).

The Ethics committee of the Department of Psychology, University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Arts, approved the study.

Data analysis

Preliminary analyses were performed to ensure the assumptions of normality, linearity, no multicollinearity, homoscedasticity, and independent errors were upheld. At the initial stage of analysis, we examined bivariate relationships between the key variables. Marital status and gender were coded as dichotomous variables (0 = married/in a partnership, 1 = single across life/divorced/widowed; 0 = male, 1 = female). Age and education were coded as ratio variables (in years). In order to test the unique predictive value of each block of predictors (demographics, subjective health, and personality traits), we performed a set of hierarchical regression analyses to predict EWB, PWB, and SoWB separately. The first block of predictors thus included the participants’ gender, age, marital status, and their level of education. We entered the scale-scores on subjective health in the second step, and added the block of the Big Five in the third step.

Results

Table 1 displays means, standard deviations, and pairwise Pearson’s correlation coefficients across the variables, and we only describe statistically significant associations next.

Considering the background variables, age negatively correlated with the components of WB, subjective health, and openness, while the level of neuroticism showed a modest positive association with the participants’ age. Females scored somewhat lower for openness than males, years of completed education were negatively related to both neuroticism and conscientiousness (low correlations) and positively associated with openness (moderate correlations), while the marital status showed no relationship with any of the studied variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Means, standard deviations and Pearson correlation coefficients between the key variables under study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWB</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWB</td>
<td>2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality traits</td>
<td>E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective health SH</td>
<td>EWB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demographics</td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>11.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>-.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status</td>
<td>-.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. EWB = emotional well-being, PWB = psychological well-being, SoWB = social well-being, SH = subjective health, E = extraversion, A = agreeableness, C = conscientiousness, N = neuroticism, O = openness, Education = years in education. ** p < .01; * p < .05
The correlations of subjective health and personality traits with each of the three components of WB were positive (except for neuroticism), most of them were modest. More notably, the participants reporting on better health scored higher in PWB and EWB, whereas those with lower levels of neuroticism, and higher levels of the remaining traits reported on more meaningful life (PWB); more emotionally stable (neuroticism reversed) elderly also exhibited higher levels of EWB.

Subjective health was modestly connected to extraversion, openness (both positively), and neuroticism (negatively). The inter-correlations among the components of WB were relatively high, as expected (e.g., Gallagher et al. 2009). The inter-correlations among the five personality traits were modest, except for a moderate negative association of neuroticism with extraversion and no association of neuroticism with openness.

Table 2 displays the summary of the hierarchical Regression Analyses. The block of background variables accounted for non-significant 3% of the variance explained in both EWB and PWB, and 2% in SoWB. Adding the data on subjective health statistically significantly improved the variance explained by 21% (EWB), 9% (PWB) and 4% (SoWB). More positive self-perceptions of health predicted higher levels of WB. The block of personality traits further provided a statistically significant increment of the variance explained in the components of WB, over and beyond the demographics characteristics and subjective health. The Big Five uniquely accounted for 11% of the variance explained in both EWB and SoWB, and 25% of the variance in PWB.

Among single predictors of the components of WB in the last step of the analyses, none of the background characteristics of the elderly was statistically significant; higher levels of subjective health predicted higher levels of both EWB and PWB, and we found differential predictive relations of the Big Five with the three components of WB. Higher levels of both agreeableness and conscientiousness, as well as lower levels of neuroticism statistically significantly contributed to EWB, higher levels of extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, and openness predicted greater PWB, whereas higher scores in both agreeableness and openness forecasted higher levels of SoWB. In sum, agreeableness significantly contributed to the variance explained across the components of subjective WB, while the remaining personality traits had a differential effect on those components.

**Discussion**

The goal of the present inquiry was to examine the unique predictive relations of the Big Five personality traits with subjective well-being (WB) or positive mental health in elderly adults, over and beyond their background characteristics and self-assessments of physical health, which appears...
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particularly relevant for WB in late adulthood. Although the contribution of personality traits to hedonic WB across adulthood has been well recognised, less attention has been devoted to the potential differential role of personality traits on distinctive components of WB, i.e. emotional (EWB), psychological (PWB) and social (SoWB) well-being in elderly adults.

In line with previous studies (Bennett, 2005; Diener & Suh, 1997; Hansen & Slagsvold, 2012; Keyes, 2007; Shapiro & Keyes, 2008) and our hypothesis (H1), age, gender, educational level, and marital status contributed very little to the three components of WB in the Slovene sample of normative elderly. As hypothesized (H2), subjective health improved the prediction of all aspects of WB, most notably EWB (by 21%) as compared to PWB and SoWB (improvement by 9% and 4%, respectively). Whereas the relationship between EWB and subjective physical health has been well-established (Binder & Coad, 2013; Borg et al., 2005; Okun et al., 1984), less is known about the associations of health with eudaimonic aspects of WB (but see Ryff, 2013). We presume that individuals who assess their physical health more favourably tend to engage in different complex, productive and/or social activities aimed at achieving personally relevant long-term goals more readily, which may promote their perceptions of leading a meaningful life (PWB), as well as positive evaluations of their embedment into the social life and appraisals of their broader society (SoWB).

In support to our predictions (H3), the Big Five personality traits uniquely explained notable portions of variance in each of the three components of WB, with a greater unique contribution of the traits to PWB (25%) than EWB and SoWB (11%). The results further suggested differential relationships of the Big Five with the components of WB in our sample of normative elderly.

As expected (H4), extraversion predicted PWB, but not EWB. While the trait has been, along with neuroticism, considered to play an important role in EWB (Costa & McCrae, 1980; Diener et al., 1999; Steel et al., 2008), the effect of extraversion may be moderated by adults’ age (Gomez et al., 2009) and culture (Vittorio 2001). Hence, a propensity towards positive emotionality, expressiveness, sociability, and assertiveness seems likely to have a minor role in evaluations of hedonic pleasure among mid and old adults, or in cultures not stressing the significance of emotional expressiveness. However, higher levels of extraversion seem to contribute to PWB across different age periods (Lamers et al., 2012; Schmutte & Ryff, 1997; Župančič & Kavčič, 2017). With respect to our elderly participants, we assume that due to their proneness toward positivity, gregariousness, outgoingness, activity and self-assertion, those higher in extraversion may hold more positive attitudes towards themselves (self-acceptance), maintain more satisfying interpersonal relationships with important others, render greater sense of coming to terms with the purpose of their past and present life, participate more actively in creating their environment (concordant with the activity theory; Lemon et al., 1972), and take more advantages of environmental opportunities (environmental mastery).

In contrast to extraversion, neuroticism was the only trait predictor of EWB, but did not predict other components of WB in our sample. The elderly scoring higher for anxiety, moodiness, fear, depression and irritability also reported on lower levels of happiness, interest in life and satisfaction. It appears in line with H4, derived from previous research outcomes (DeNeve & Cooper, 1998; Lamers et al., 2012; Steel et al., 2008; Župančič & Kavčič, 2017), particularly in late adulthood (Gomez et al., 2009). As several authors suggested (e.g., Lamers et al. 2012; Ozer & Benet-Martinez, 2006; Steel et al., 2008), the neuroticism–EWB relationships may be explained through several mechanisms. The biological pathways may reflect individual differences in BIS, promoting behavioural inhibition and avoidance of danger/novelty (Gray, 1990), which is associated with negative affect (primarily anxiety) and results in low levels of pleasure. Behaviourally, less stable individuals also tend to engage in less favourable types of transactions with their environments (see Caspi, 1998). For example, due to their sensitivity and attentiveness to negative stimuli (Elliot & Thrash, 2002), they are more likely to find them and thus, perceive and interpret their experiences more pessimistically, respond to others in a less favourable way (the reactive type of transaction), and elicit more negativity in people around them (the evocative type), all of which could make them less happy.

In partial discordance to H4, agreeableness predicted all three components of WB. Nevertheless, caution is needed not to overstate the strength of those relationships as agreeable individuals tend toward conformity, compliance, and pliability, which may make them more likely to feel, or at least to report, on enhanced levels of contentment with several aspects of their life (Schmutte & Ryff, 1997). This turned out to be particularly the case with Slovene elderly adults (Caprara et al., 2012). Keeping this in mind, the results of our study indicate that more kind, friendly, co-operative, helpful, empathically responsive, trustworthy and forgiving older adults tended to endorse higher levels of happiness, interest in life and satisfaction (EWB), as well as thriving in their private and social life (PWB and SoWB) than their peers who evaluated themselves lower in agreeableness. Compared to extraversion, the significance of agreeableness in late adulthood, particularly with respect to EWB, could be attributed to changing social goals with age as proposed by Carstensen (Carstensen et al., 1999) in her socioemotional selectivity theory. Over young adulthood, the acquisition of information and development or maintenance of self-concept is important. Young people hence tend to create broader social networks. In this respect, extraverts may benefit from their inclination toward social engagement and enjoyment in social situations for it increases the likelihood of establishing a wider-range of social contacts, which augment their level of EWB. However, more meaningful goals gain in vitality with increasing age. Individuals thus tend to become more selective, they systematically narrow their social networks and invest more in rewarding (mutually warm, intimate, trusting, reliable, understanding) relationships. Since older adults are likely to put a greater value on such kind of relationships, agreeableness may become more central in attaining hedonic pleasure (EWB) than extraversion.

Agreeableness has further shown associations with eudaimonic aspects of WB across different age periods (Lamers et al., 2012; Schmutte and Ryff, 1997; Župančič & Kavčič, 2017) and uniquely predicted PWB, as well as SoWB in our elderly sample. The respective relationships may be
explained instrumentally (McCrae & Costa, 1991; Ozer & Benet-Martínez, 2006). In their private lives, individuals may achieve especially satisfying interpersonal ties through their sympathetic, caring, friendly, good-mannered and trusting tendencies (e.g., Schmutte & Ryff, 1997). Moreover, through the mutually rewarding and supportive close relationships they may enhance their appraisals of self and accomplish a sense of competence in mastering their life (PWB). Behavioural tendencies of agreeable people may also provide instrumental avenues toward achieving satisfaction in their public life as reflected in SoWB (Zupančič & Kavčič, 2017). Agreeable behaviour may, for example, contribute to one’s cooperative functioning in the community life, holding favourable views of human nature and feeling comfortable with other people, considering self as a part of society, recognizing potentials of the society to develop and opportunities the community or society offers to its members. Prosocial behaviour and views may further increase the likelihood of agreeable people of receiving support from the community, which could in turn enhance their evaluations of performing well in social life.

With regard to other significant associations in our elderly sample, conscientiousness predicted EWB and PWB, whereas openness influenced PWB and SoWB. A hypothesis of the instrumental personality–WB linkage offers several possibilities through those traits may relate to WB outcomes. More rule-abiding, systematic, organized, achievement-striving, persistent and responsible (i.e., conscientious) old adults remain healthier through engagement in variety of positive health-related behaviours, and selecting healthier environments and friendships (e.g., Friedman & Kern, 2014). Thus, they may experience more pleasant and less unpleasant emotions in daily life (reflected in EWB). They may likewise deem a more meaningful private life (PWB) because they tend to develop effective coping with difficulties (Ryff, 2013), high levels of social competence and productivity (McCrae & Löckenhoff, 2014; Roberts et al., 2013), which may enhance a stronger sense of mastery of one’s own life and environments. As conscientiousness is associated with goal-setting (Roberts et al., 2013) and activities aimed at achieving personally relevant long-term goals are related to PWB (Vittersø, 2001; Waterman, 1993), motivational aspects of functioning may provide another avenue to PWB in the old age.

In addition to studies reporting on the role of openness in PWB (Lamers et al., 2012; Stephan, 2009; Zupančič & Kavčič, 2017) and SoWB (Hill et al., 2012; Joshanloo & Nosratabadi, 2009; Joshanloo et al., 2012), we revealed predictive validity of the trait for PWB and SoWB in the elderly sample. The openness–PWB relationship in late adulthood was explained by Stephan (2009), who suggested that openness facilitates the development of an active post-retirement style. Individuals prone to seek out and enjoy new experiences, manifested in intellectual curiosity, active exploration, and open-mindedness more likely engage in various intellectual, cultural or physical activities, which satisfy their need for personal growth and development, and hence, promote PWB. By means of pursuing non-conformist, novel experiences and activities, willingness to expand one’s horizons and accept novel ideas, people may also enjoy greater self-understanding (Schmutte & Ryff, 1997) and develop a stronger sense of purpose in life when construing their life reviews in the old age. Likewise, curiosity and broad-mindedness in the elderly may facilitate better understanding of what is happening in their social world, acknowledgement of their embeddedness in a community, and recognizing themselves as potential beneficiaries of social growth (Zupančič & Kavčič, 2017), i.e., SoWB.

Taken together, our study pointed out that four of the Big Five personality traits uniquely contribute to the components of WB in a sample of elderly differentially, whereas agreeableness plays a positive role across the components. Precisely, neuroticism relates to EWB, extraversion to PWB, conscientiousness to both EWB and PWB, and openness to eudaimonic WB (PWB and SoWB).

Limitations and future prospects

There are several shortcomings to this study. It was based on self-reports and thus, might have been subject to a single-informant bias, which tends to overestimate the associations due to the shared method variance. Cross-informant approaches, combining self-, spouse- and/or adult child report on elderly adults’ WB, health, and personality or other multi-method approaches (e.g., objective measures of physical health and psychophysiological personality data) are recommended (Diener, 2012). Our sample also included independent, relatively healthy and rather young elderly adults. Hence, caution is needed against over-generalization of the outcomes across other groups of elderly, such as those residing in homes for the elderly, or those with physical and/or mental disabilities.

Due to the cross-sectional and correlational nature of our study, we cannot draw conclusions about the directionality of the predictive relations. WB, for example, can affect individuals’ health (e.g., Diener & Chan, 2011; Ryff, 2013), not just the other way around. A cross-lagged panel design using longitudinal data, is henceforth needed. A fine-grained analyses considering lower-order components of EWB, PWB, and SoWB by using the full MHC form and a personality questionnaire providing trait scores at the facet level (e.g., BFQ; Caprara et al., 2012) would further offer a more comprehensive explanation of joint effects of personality, subjective health, and background characteristics on the components of WB.

Nonetheless, we believe that our findings add to the extant knowledge on the contribution of personality to WB by analysing the unique effects of the Big Five specifically in late adulthood, in particular among the elderly in Slovenia who are rarely represented in the psychological literature. The results of our study suggest that the traits of agreeableness, openness and conscientiousness are important resources of WB in the elderly, specifically of those aspects that have been rather neglected in research, namely PWB and SoWB. Since developmental needs and tasks in late adulthood include generativity, social relationships, and active life styles (e.g., Lemon et al., 1972; Rowe & Kahn, 1997), the aspects of WB that refer to functioning in the social world (SoWB), engagement in meaningful activities and actualization of one’s own potentials (PWB) are at least as important indicators of successful aging as EWB.
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